

①
Zahin Akif
Professor Rodwell
Writing For Engineers
3/13/19

A Closer Look at Professional Lab Reports

Lab reports are often held to a common professional level of standard in terms of what they entail, with the common structure being shared across the disciplines. The sections of a lab report consist of parts like a title, references, abstract, introduction, results and conclusion. Three sample reports that I have chosen are the *Color Rendering Properties of LED Light Sources from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*, *Impact of particle shape on electron transport and lifetime in zinc oxide nanorod-based dye-sensitized solar cells from CUNY City College*, and *Final Report: A fluidic Dump Combustor for Ramjet Engines* from SUNY Buffalo. These reports share structural similarity in their content of each section, but they also have differences that may or may not be effective in communicating/strengthening the claims made in the paper and ultimately lead to variations in effectiveness.

Color Rendering Properties of LED Light Sources from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI) is a report written to address an earlier assumption of human subject responses relating to color preference by a light source as a constant. The purpose of this report was to test the color rendering property versus the color preference aspects of LEDs and check whether or not CRI is a good metric for color preference. psychophysical Survey data collected by RPI showed that lower CRI (Color Rendering Index) light source were actually more preferred than light from high CRI halogen/Incandescents. The survey data was used by the report to conclude that CRI has no correlation to people's color preference, which meant that a better metric is needed to quantify light source color rendering and preference properties.

The lab report titled *Impact of particle shape on electron transport and lifetime in zinc oxide nanorod-based dye-sensitized solar cells* from CUNY City College was written to bring into awareness how particle shape may impact solar cells. This lab tackled this by trying to indicate that the ratio

fine
was fast
on paper

What
does
this mean?

Did you
or the
authors make
this deter-
mination?

Not clear?
with me?

typo

Confusing
don't
see
how
to fix

1/x
read then
which is

between τ_d / τ_n (electron transport (τ_d) and electron lifetime (τ_n)) can be decoupled by different variables to create greater solar efficiency. Through measuring properties of synthesized ZnO products (SG-NR3 and SG-NP) and using techniques like electron microscopy and x-ray diffraction, the report ~~came to the~~ ^{ded} conclusion that nanoparticle shape is a parameter that affects electron dynamics. Results showed that electrons had exited the working electrode of SG-NR3 more rapidly than that of the Stream NP DSC. In ~~the~~ conclusion, the study also concluded that nanoparticle shape is actually a parameter that affects electron dynamics in ZnO DSC electrodes.

Final Report: A fluidic Dump Combustor for Ramjet Engines from SUNY Buffalo is a lab report about the combustion systems regarding flame holders. The report was written to test the flame stabilization of two different types of flame holders: the bluff body and the fluidic. Both flame holders were inspected with regards to their rotation, instant vorticity, and turbulent vertical velocity. The fluidic flame holder had an overall more chaotic flame structure which allowed for the more efficient use of the combustion whereas, the more controlled flame structure did not. This advantage by the fluidic flameholder was attributed to the baroclinic torque, and overall the study agreed towards the consensus that the fluidic flameholder was more efficient when compared to its bluff body counterpart in all aspects.

The Abstract is the entry point for most lab reports where a brief overview of what is being discussed is told. All three articles used jargon effectively. Often times, each of the reports would define some terms that seemed more complex, however, overall, an understanding of the field was to be expected by the reader from the very start. With vocabulary like CRI, vorticity, and DSCs, complex terminology used benefited the audience to deepen trust and understanding in what is being discussed. Using professional language in their respective fields established a level of professionalism in the reports to which the audience could resonate easier with to digest information. The main job of an abstract is to give an overview and through the use of jargon the level of depth to the field as well as type of field can be gauged from the very start.

wanted to add on

you have a concl. for one report.

also closing

adds new info, not in previous

Rebased on previous evidence

repeated info

not analysis?

You haven't analyzed the quality of the abstract kept efficient & complete? not questioned by

I was not in previous paper

You've attempted to squeeze two diff statements into one.

Ref as Pictorial
Why is this wrong?

~~Can't~~
Style vs grammar?

Akif 3

The introduction section of the lab reports was used accordingly to introduce the problems of the reports. Though the basis of creating an importance was established by all three reports, the article on flame holders did it best. "Fig 1 Chemiluminescence of mean flame and schematic of the fluidic flame holder flow features" is a pictorial representation of what the introduction discussed. Being a report scoped towards engineers, concepts were at first hard to grasp like Chemiluminescence. The pictorial representation however allows to better understand what is being discussed to formulate my own understanding with the labeling of parts and visual depiction. Unlike this, the articles on CRI and ZnO had no figures in the introduction. This made it harder to visualize and understand communicated ideas like Architectural lighting and electron mobility. Where complex language may detract from understanding, a better grasp of the concepts is attained of the article through the figure, thus making the introduction of the flameholder report most effective.

are 1/2
construction
your sentences
out of order
Subject-verb-
add a
related to
subject & this
specific
verb.

How do I fix?

The experimental section of each report followed their introductions accordingly. While the article on CRI compared low CRI values to high CRI values in halogen/incandescents and the article on flamerholders tested efficiency between the fluidic flame holder versus the bluff body, the article on ZnO conducted its tests with no regard to one other exact variable: ZnO was compared to three different variables. Testing three variables at once (SG-NR3, SG-NP, and Strem-NP) made it hard to understand what the study was regarding to in its findings later on. Figure 3 (A) Intensity modulated photocurrent spectroscopy had three graphs for each of the tested variables however it was difficult to draw a set conclusion from them. The experimental setup created three sets of data making it hard to compare and draw conclusions for efficiency directly from two sets of data. Having three variables tested and compared made it hard to keep track of all the information whereas just focusing on two variables specifically was easier to understand and as a result was more straightforward.

?
What about
the
not said earlier

The result section of the lab reports presented their data in general, yet there were some minor differences. All of the reports utilized graphs to visualize numerical data and display overall trends.

Displaying a graph helped each of these labs as they were able to display a trend visually to their respective audiences. The result section then expanded on what the data had shown to describe what the implications and meanings of the data were regarding each of their respective content. Unlike the two other labs however, the lab regarding flame holders combined the discussion section with the results section. Titled "Research and discussion", this 16 page section of the report on flameholder was the bulk of the report. This broad title for 16 pages of writing created a longer section in all as the content was combined from both sections into one and made the content feel somewhat overwhelming while reading. This section was at parts difficult to understand which part was the result and which part was the discussion due to this general title. ?? → Little repetitive but

For the two labs that had a separate discussion section, being the reports on ZnO and CRI, both went into discuss the results section and the implication it brings to context. Unlike the report on CRI, the report on ZnO used a graph titled "electron lifetimes" (figure 4) to in addition graphically discuss their findings. This is not the best course of action as new data is brought up in a section which is supposed to explain results from previous data. By adding new data in a section where data is supposed to be discussed, the reader may become confused by the organization of the sections. If figure 4 were moved to the data section, the results of electron lifetimes could be discussed more accordingly thus improving the organization and hopefully the understanding of the report.

The conclusions of each report hoped to close their overall paper. The lab report on flame holders proved why fluidic bases were more efficient, CRIs established that CRI was not a good measure of lighting preference in their study, and the solar research on ZnO showed that it was not yet ready to be used to its full efficiency. Not all of the labs had a sound ending to their report. The ZnO study concluded that Nanorods of ~3:1 aspect ratio do not allow the the electron to be decoupled from combination, however, if the ratio was increased and porosity increased, "electrons could take advantage of the higher electron mobility in ZnO." Although the ZnO study showed that the ratio could not be decoupled at a

→ two ones, where does this apply?

① You seem to be saying that it is difficult because it is long. What other evidence can you provide to support your claim?

How?
Address the report before you compare it to another. Your reader has no function for your comparison.

Not Fixed/amb earlier

certain ratio, they bring up that it may in a higher ratio. This leaves room for the question of what happens at that ratio. On the contrary, the other two labs build up to an ending. This is a significant difference as it correlates to again each of their respective purposes and what the lab was intending to accomplish.

While the studies on CRI related to LEDs and flame holders sought to report about a clear answer in their abstracts, the report on ZnO solar cells was more abstract and open. Based on the structure and content in each of the reports, they accomplish what they had: CRI came to the conclusion that CRI is not a good indication for lighting preference, flame holders explained why the fluidic base was better more efficient than the bluff body, and ZnO explored the idea of ZnO as a new solar material. Unlike the other two reports, the ZnO solar report ended with an open conclusion as it was a new study into something not as well known. The ZnO report's open conclusion created an unfinished conclusion that felt incomplete as the study did not fully complete its research. The conclusion of the CRI and flameholder Report both completed their findings and looped back to what the introduction had set out to tackle. Through reading these lab reports, it can be understood that even in common subject areas, there can be great differences in the structure and organization of information based off the intended purpose of each report.

You have not addressed all my previous questions.

↳ I did not know how, but

3323331 = 18 I tried to address all of them

References:

Chang, R., Ithisuphalap, K., & Kretzschmar, I. (2016, January 1). Impact of particle shape on electron transport and lifetime in zinc oxide nanorod-based dye-sensitized solar cells. Retrieved March 12, 19, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290973684_Impact_of_particle_shape_on_electron_transport_and_lifetime_in_zinc_oxide_nanorod-based_dye-sensitized_solar_cells

Forliti, D. J., Dr. (2009, December 30). Flame stabilization in a model ramjet combustor using a transverse slot jet. Retrieved March 24, 2019, from <https://ubir.buffalo.edu/xmlui/handle/10477/45579>

Narendran, N., & Deng, L. (n.d.). Color Rendering Properties of LED Light Sources. Retrieved March 13, 2019, from https://www.lightingassociates.org/i/u/2127806/f/tech_sheets/Color_Rendering_Properties_of_LED_Light_Sources.pdf

Not APA format!

↓
was marked incomplete,
was not sure what this
meant.